

## Vegetable production on the ails of boro rice field and its effect on rice crop

M.A. Hasan and N. Islam<sup>1</sup>

Department of Agronomy, Hajee Mohammad Danesh Science and Technology University, Dinajpur, <sup>1</sup>Department of Agronomy, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh, E-mail: mahasan\_uni@yahoo.com

**Abstract:** An experiment was conducted at the Agronomy Field Laboratory, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh during the period from December 2004 to May 2005 to investigate the performance of selected vegetables and different levels of width of *ail* in *Boro* rice cv. BRRI dhan29. The experiment comprised five crop combinations viz. rice alone i.e. control ( $C_0$ ), rice + bottle gourd ( $C_1$ ), rice + white gourd ( $C_2$ ), rice + sponge gourd ( $C_3$ ), and rice + yard long bean ( $C_4$ ) and four levels of width of *ail* viz. 30 cm ( $A_1$ ), 40 cm ( $A_2$ ), 50 cm ( $A_3$ ), and 60 cm ( $A_4$ ). The experiment was set up using randomized complete block design with three replications. Vegetables included were raised on the *ails* of rice plots and later, these were given on to the trellis made with bamboo and plastic rope arrangements. The highest grain yield ( $6.94\text{tha}^{-1}$ ) was obtained from the control treatment ( $C_0$ ) and the lowest grain yield ( $5.71\text{tha}^{-1}$ ) obtained from rice + yard long bean ( $C_4$ ) crop combination, which was similar to rice + white gourd ( $C_2$ ) crop combination. In vegetable production, the highest yield ( $15.73\text{tha}^{-1}$ ) was recorded from rice + white gourd ( $C_2$ ) and the lowest yield ( $2.28\text{tha}^{-1}$ ) was received from rice + sponge gourd ( $C_3$ ) crop combination. Results also showed that the highest grain yield ( $6.54\text{tha}^{-1}$ ) was produced from the  $A_3$  (50 cm) treatment, which was similar to  $A_4$  (60 cm) treatment and the lowest grain yield ( $6.03\text{tha}^{-1}$ ) was found in  $A_2$  (40 cm) treatment. In terms of vegetable production, the highest vegetable yield ( $8.54\text{tha}^{-1}$ ) was recorded from  $A_4$  (60 cm) treatment, which was identical to  $A_3$  (50 cm) treatment and the lowest value ( $4.95\text{tha}^{-1}$ ) was received from  $A_2$  (40 cm) treatment. On the contrary, the highest rice equivalent yield ( $15.22\text{tha}^{-1}$ ) was obtained from  $A_4$  treatment, which was similar to  $A_3$  treatment and the lowest rice equivalent yield ( $11.24\text{tha}^{-1}$ ) was found in  $A_2$  treatment. The highest grain ( $7.21\text{tha}^{-1}$ ) yield was obtained from  $C_0A_1$  treatment combination and the lowest value ( $4.67\text{tha}^{-1}$ ) was recorded from  $C_4A_2$  treatment combination. The highest vegetable yield ( $18.78\text{tha}^{-1}$ ) was recorded from  $C_2A_4$  treatment combination (rice + white gourd and 60 cm width of *ail*) and lowest vegetable yield ( $1.59\text{tha}^{-1}$ ) was obtained from  $C_3A_2$  (rice + sponge gourd and 40 cm width of *ail*). On the other hand, the highest rice equivalent yield ( $23.75\text{tha}^{-1}$ ) was obtained from  $C_2A_4$  treatment combination and the lowest rice equivalent yield ( $6.65\text{tha}^{-1}$ ) was received from the control treatment combination ( $C_0A_2$ ). In respect of cost analysis for vegetable cultivation with *Boro* rice, the highest gross return (Tk. 208,985 $\text{ha}^{-1}$ ), net return (Tk. 149,049 $\text{ha}^{-1}$ ) and benefit-cost ratio (3.49) were obtained from the treatment combination of rice + white gourd and 60 cm width of *ail* ( $C_2A_4$ ) and the lowest gross return (Tk. 74,030 $\text{ha}^{-1}$ ), net return (Tk. 17,256 $\text{ha}^{-1}$ ) and benefit-cost ratio (1.30) were obtained from rice + sponge gourd and 40 cm width of *ail* ( $C_3A_2$ ) treatment combination.

**Key words:** Vegetable production, *Ail*, *Boro* rice crop

### Introduction

Bangladesh is primarily a rice-producing country. Rice plays dominant role in Bangladesh agriculture covering about 76% of the total cropped area (BBS, 2004). The alarming population growth rate, rapid industrialization and urbanization have gradually been reducing the cultivable land. Bangladesh has the lowest per capita arable land due to its high population density. On the other hand, the sustainable vegetable crop production system in Bangladesh is lacking. Vegetable crops excluding potato occupy only 1.8% of the total cropped area with a gross production of 1.63 million tons (BBS, 2004). In developed countries, cereals and vegetables including roots and tubers are produced in the ratio of 1:2 (by weight). The ratio in the developing countries of Asia is 2:1, but in Bangladesh it is 5:1 (Siddique and Aditya, 1992). The per capita consumption of vegetable in Bangladesh is only 53 g, which is far behind the daily requirement of 200 g/head/day (Rashid, 1999). The figure is lowest among the Asian countries like India (167 g), Pakistan (69 g), Sri Lanka (120 g), China (280 g) and Japan (248 g); the world average consumption being 250 g/head/day (FAO, 1998). The unavailability of land for vegetable production in wet season is the main constraint to maintain sustainability of year round vegetable production in Bangladesh. In spite of the intensive land use, the country is deficit in crop production and farm families are facing economic dislocation. This problem may be solved by producing high yielding vegetable crops simultaneously in the *ails* of rice field as mixed crops.

Moreover, Bangladesh is constrained with insufficient vegetable resources. Under these alarming situations, it is necessary to find out suitable alternatives. Since there is

neither enough scope for expanding vegetable growing land nor sole grain crop areas, we have to develop a combined production system integrating vegetables and cereals. Increase in land area for vegetable production seems to have little scope, because of tremendous population pressure on the land. Thus in these circumstances, some unconventional methods may be explored to grow vegetable crops on the *ails* (the border of the rice plots that is used for the control of water movement) of rice crops. So, this research work has of immense importance for food production in order to meet up the demand for ever-increasing population. It is probable that the production will be high with low cost. To provide balance diet for the people of Bangladesh is an important part, which might provide vitamins and minerals. Moreover, some vegetables have reasonable market price round the year. If sufficient vegetables can be grown without causing any or a little harm to rice production, it will be very helpful technology for the poor people of the country.

Therefore, it is of prime need to improve the system-based productivity and put emphasis not only on rice but also simultaneously on the production of vegetable crops within the set-up of rice production. Therefore, the proposed research work was undertaken to select the most suitable and adaptive type of vegetables from among the different vegetable crops that could be raised on *ails* of growing rice crops.

### Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted at the Agronomy Field Laboratory, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh during the period from December 2004 to

May 2005 to investigate the performance of some selected vegetables and different levels of width of *ail* in *Boro* rice cv. BRR1 dhan29. The experiment comprised five crop combinations viz. rice alone i.e. control ( $C_0$ ), rice + bottle gourd ( $C_1$ ), rice + white gourd ( $C_2$ ), rice + sponge gourd ( $C_3$ ), and rice + yard long bean ( $C_4$ ) and four levels of width of *ail* viz. 30 cm ( $A_1$ ), 40 cm ( $A_2$ ), 50 cm ( $A_3$ ), and 60 cm ( $A_4$ ). The experiment was set up in a randomized complete block design with three replications and the unit plots measured 4.0 m x 2.5 m each. Vegetables included were raised on the *ails* of rice plots and later, these were given on to the trellis made with bamboo and plastic rope arrangements. Trellises were made in the middle of the plot to minimize the shading effect on rice crop. *Boro* rice cv. BRR1 dhan 29 was fertilized at the rate of 304, 77, 60, 29 and 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of urea, triple superphosphate, muriate of potash, gypsum and zinc sulphate, respectively (BRR1, 2004). Except urea, the whole amount of other fertilizers was applied during final land preparation. Urea was top dressed at 20 and 55 days after transplanting of rice. Rice seedlings of 45 day-old were transplanted on 14 January 2005 in 25 cm apart rows with two seedlings hill<sup>-1</sup> maintaining hill distance of 15 cm. The pits on *ails* were prepared with different fertilizers and manures according to dike cropping manual (Alam, 2000). Vegetable seeds were sown directly in the pit made on *ails* of *Boro* rice field on February 2005 maintaining a distance of 50 cm from pit to pit. Intercultural operations were done and plant protection measures were taken as per requirement of the rice-cum-vegetable cultivation. Five rice hills were selected randomly from each plot and uprooted before harvesting for recording data other than yields. After sampling the whole plot was harvested at maturity on 19 May 2005. The harvested plants of each plot were separately bundled, properly tagged and then threshed and the fresh weights of grain and straw yields were recorded plot wise. The grain weight was assessed at a 14% moisture level. Finally, grain and straw yields were recorded and converted to t ha<sup>-1</sup>. Here, vegetable yield assessment was made on the basis of whole plot. Rice equivalent yield, gross return, net return and benefit-cost ratio were also calculated. Data were analyzed and the mean differences were adjudged by Duncan's Multiple New Range Test (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

## Results and Discussion

### Effect of vegetable crop combination

It was observed that crop combination exhibited significant influence on all the characters such as number of effective and total tillers hill<sup>-1</sup>, number of grains panicle<sup>-1</sup>, grain and straw yields along with vegetable and rice equivalent yields except plant height and panicle length (Table 1). The highest number of effective tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> (15.27) was obtained from control treatment ( $C_0$ ), which was similar to rice + bottle gourd ( $C_1$ ) crop combination and the lower number (13.95) received from rice + yard long bean ( $C_4$ ), which was identical to  $C_2$  (14.10) and  $C_3$  (14.27) crop combinations. Total number of tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> was significantly affected by the crop combination (Table 1). The result showed that the maximum number of total tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> (18.04) was produced from the control treatment ( $C_0$ ), which was similar to rice + bottle gourd ( $C_1$ ) crop combination and the minimum number of total tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> (15.95) was produced from rice + yard long bean ( $C_4$ ) crop combination that was identical to  $C_2$  (16.42) and  $C_3$  (16.22) crop combinations. There was no significant difference among the crop combinations except control treatment. The control treatment ( $C_0$ ) produced highest grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> (144.0) than the other crop combinations. Results show that different crop combinations significantly reduced rice yields compared to that obtained from sole rice plots. On the contrary, significant rice yield reductions were observed in rice + white gourd ( $C_2$ ) and rice + yard long bean ( $C_4$ ). However, grain yield reductions due to trellis-grown vegetable were not much remarkable. Rice + yard long bean ( $C_4$ ) crop combination produced the lowest grain yield (5.71 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) with yield reduction of 18.0% as compared to sole rice cropping (Table 1). This result was similar to the findings of Kundu (2002). There was similar trend in straw yield as observed in grain yield of rice. Higher straw yield (7.97 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) produced from the control treatment ( $C_0$ ) and lower straw yield (6.60 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained from rice + yard long bean ( $C_4$ ) crop combination. Higher straw yield was attributed due to its higher number of total tillers hill<sup>-1</sup>. This result was similar to that of Amin (2004).

**Table 1. Yield and yield attributes of *Boro* rice cv. BRR1 dhan29 as influenced by the crop combination in the rice cum vegetable cultivation system**

| Crop combination      | Plant height (cm) | No. of effective tillers hill <sup>-1</sup> | No. of total tillers hill <sup>-1</sup> | Panicle length (cm) | No. of grains panicle <sup>-1</sup> | Grain yield (tha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Straw yield (tha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Vegetable yield (tha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Rice equivalent yield (tha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Reduction in interception of solar radiation (%) |
|-----------------------|-------------------|---|---|---------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| $C_0$                 | 92.17             | 15.27a                                      | 18.04a                                  | 22.96               | 144.0a                              | 6.94a                            | 7.97a                            | -                                    | 6.94d                                      | -  |
| $C_1$ (R + Bo)        | 91.10             | 14.97a                                      | 17.60a                                  | 23.20               | 136.7b                              | 6.48b                            | 7.45b                            | 9.38b                                | 15.31b                                     | 9.0  |
| $C_2$ (R + W)         | 91.90             | 14.10b                                      | 16.42b                                  | 23.43               | 135.9b                              | 5.97c                            | 6.92c                            | 15.73a                               | 20.77a                                     | 11.0   |
| $C_3$ (R + S)         | 91.25             | 14.27b                                      | 16.22b                                  | 23.59               | 136.0b                              | 6.39b                            | 7.34b                            | 2.28d                                | 8.53c                                      | 8.0  |
| $C_4$ (R + Y)         | 91.95             | 13.95b                                      | 15.95b                                  | 23.41               | 133.0b                              | 5.71c                            | 6.60c                            | 7.08c                                | 15.70b                                     | 10.0   |
| Level of significance | NS                | 0.01  | 0.01                                    | NS                  | 0.05                                | 0.01                             | 0.01                             | 0.01                                 | 0.01                                       |  |
| CV (%)                | 2.49              | 3.71  | 3.93                                    | 3.60                | 4.95                                | 6.94                             | 6.65                             | 22.95                                | 12.60                                      |  |

In a column, the treatment means having similar letter(s) do not differ significantly, 'NS' means not significant

$C_0$ : Rice alone (Control),  $C_1$ : Rice + Bottle gourd (Bo),  $C_2$ : Rice + White gourd (W),  $C_3$ : Rice + Sponge gourd (S),  $C_4$ : Rice + Yard long bean (Y)

In vegetable production, white gourd ( $C_2$ ) on the *ails* of rice plots gave the highest yield of  $15.73 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$  followed by  $9.38 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$  of bottle gourd ( $C_1$ ). The production of yard long bean ( $C_4$ ) was moderate ( $7.08 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ) and sponge gourd ( $C_3$ ) had the lowest vegetable yield ( $2.28 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ). It is well to note that the yield of vegetable was slightly affected by hailstorm during the harvesting period. Rice + white gourd ( $C_2$ ) gave the highest rice equivalent yield of  $20.77 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$  followed by  $15.70 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$  in rice + yard long bean ( $C_4$ ) and  $15.31 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$  in rice + bottle gourd ( $C_1$ ) and the lowest value ( $6.94 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ) was obtained from the control treatment i.e. sole rice ( $C_0$ ). The result indicated that in most cases sole *Boro* rice is less profitable than the plots provided with vegetable. This result was similar to the findings of Kundu (2002). There was no significant difference among different crop combinations except control treatment i.e. sole rice (Table 1). It was observed that reduction in interception of solar radiation ranging from 8.0% to 11.0% was found among the treatments compared to the sole rice crop.

#### Effect of width of *ail*

Width of *ail* also exerted significant influence on all the characters of rice such as number of effective and total tillers  $\text{hill}^{-1}$ , number of grains panicle $^{-1}$ , grain and straw yields along with vegetable and rice equivalent yields. Other characters were not significant (Table 2). Results showed that the highest number of effective tillers  $\text{hill}^{-1}$  (14.96) was produced from the  $A_3$  (50 cm) treatment followed by  $A_4$  (14.56) and the lowest number of effective tillers  $\text{hill}^{-1}$  (14.12), which was identical to  $A_1$  (30 cm) treatment. There was no significant difference between  $A_3$  (50 cm) and  $A_4$  (60 cm) treatments. The lowest number of total tillers  $\text{hill}^{-1}$  (16.04) was recorded from  $A_2$  (40 cm)

treatment (Table 2). Results showed that the maximum number of grains panicle $^{-1}$  (140.1) was produced from  $A_3$  (50 cm) treatment, which was similar to that produced from  $A_4$  (60 cm) and  $A_1$  (30 cm) and the minimum value (132.2) was found in  $A_2$  (40 cm) treatment. Results showed that the  $A_3$  (50 cm) and  $A_4$  (60 cm) width of *ails* did not show significant difference in rice grain yields. On the other hand, significant grain yield reductions were achieved with  $A_1$  (30 cm) and  $A_2$  (40 cm) width of *ails* (Table 4.5.3). The highest grain yield ( $6.54 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ) was produced from the  $A_3$  (50 cm) treatment, which was similar to  $A_4$  (60 cm) treatment and the lowest grain yield ( $6.03 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ) was found in  $A_2$  (40 cm) treatment. Among width of *ail* treatments, except  $A_2$  (40 cm) treatment the differences between treatments were not statistically significant. The maximum straw yield ( $7.45 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ) was obtained from  $A_4$  (60 cm) treatment and the minimum value ( $6.91 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ) received from  $A_2$  (40 cm) treatment. Width of *ails* exhibited significant effect on vegetable yield (Table 2). The highest vegetable yield ( $8.54 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ) was recorded from  $A_4$  (60 cm) treatment, which was identical to  $A_3$  (50 cm) treatment and the lowest value ( $4.95 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ) was received from  $A_2$  (40 cm) treatment. The probable cause might be that root growth and development of vegetable crops were much better in broader *ails* than that of narrower ones. It was found that width of *ail* had significantly influenced rice equivalent yield (Table 2). Among width of *ail* treatments, the  $A_4$  (60 cm) and  $A_3$  (50 cm) treatments produced the highest rice equivalent yield ( $15.22$  and  $14.88 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ , respectively). On the other hand, the rest two treatments gave the lowest rice equivalent yields ( $12.46$  and  $11.24 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ , respectively).

**Table 2. Yield and yield attributes of *Boro* rice cv. BRRI dhan29 as influenced by the width of *ail* in the rice cum vegetable**

| Width of <i>ail</i> | Plant height (cm) | No. of effective tillers $\text{hill}^{-1}$ | No. of total tillers $\text{hill}^{-1}$ | Panicle length (cm) | No. of grains panicle $^{-1}$ | Grain yield ( $\text{tha}^{-1}$ ) | Straw yield ( $\text{tha}^{-1}$ ) | Vegetable yield ( $\text{tha}^{-1}$ ) | Rice equivalent yield ( $\text{tha}^{-1}$ ) |
|---------------------|-------------------|---|---|---------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| $A_1$               | 91.03             | 14.40bc                                     | 16.64b                                  | 23.33               | 136.8ab                       | 6.15bc                            | 7.15ab                            | 5.96b                                 | 12.46b                                      |
| $A_2$               | 91.96             | 14.12c                                      | 16.04c                                  | 23.28               | 132.9b                        | 6.03c                             | 6.91b                             | 4.95b                                 | 11.24b                                      |
| $A_3$               | 92.79             | 14.96a                                      | 17.36a                                  | 23.47               | 140.1a                        | 6.54a                             | 7.51a                             | 8.12a                                 | 14.88a                                      |
| $A_4$               | 90.91             | 14.56b                                      | 17.33a                                  | 23.19               | 138.7a                        | 6.47ab                            | 7.45a                             | 8.54a                                 | 15.22a                                      |
| Level of sig.       | NS                | 0.01  | 0.01                                    | NS                  | 0.05                          | 0.05                              | 0.01                              | 0.01                                  | 0.01  |
| CV (%)              | 2.49              | 3.71  | 3.93                                    | 3.60                | 4.95                          | 6.94                              | 6.65                              | 22.95                                 | 12.60                                       |

In a column, the treatment means having similar letter(s) do not differ significantly, 'NS' means not significant,

Note:  $A_1$ : 30 cm,  $A_2$ : 40 cm,  $A_3$ : 50 cm,  $A_4$ : 60 cm

#### Interaction effect of vegetable crop combination and width of *ail*

Plant height, number of effective and total tillers  $\text{hill}^{-1}$ , number of grains panicle $^{-1}$ , grain and straw yields together with vegetable and rice equivalent yields were influenced significantly by the interaction of crop combination and width of *ail* treatment except panicle length (Table 3). Plant height differed significantly due to the interaction effect. The results showed that there was no significant difference among the treatment combinations (Table 3). Number of effective tillers  $\text{hill}^{-1}$  was significantly different due to the effect of interaction between crop combination and width of *ail*. The highest number of effective tillers

$\text{hill}^{-1}$  (16.27) was found in the  $C_0A_4$  treatment combination and the lowest number of effective tillers  $\text{hill}^{-1}$  (12.47) was obtained from  $C_4A_4$  treatment combination. Effect of interaction between crop combination and width of *ail* for number of total tillers  $\text{hill}^{-1}$  was significant. The results showed that higher number of total tillers  $\text{hill}^{-1}$  (20.00) was found in the  $C_0A_4$  treatment combination and the lower number of total tillers  $\text{hill}^{-1}$  (14.67) was obtained from the  $C_3A_2$  treatment combination. There was significant interaction effect on number of grains panicle $^{-1}$ . It was found that the maximum number of grains panicle $^{-1}$  (149.3) was produced by the  $C_0A_4$  treatment combination. The minimum number of grains panicle $^{-1}$  (123.0) was

**Table 3. Yield and yield attributes of *Boro* rice cv. BRR1 dhan29 as influenced by the interaction of crop combination and width of *ail* in the rice cum vegetable cultivation system**

| Crop combination X<br>Width of <i>ail</i> | Plant<br>height (cm) | No. of<br>effective<br>tillers hill <sup>-1</sup> | No. of<br>total tillers<br>hill <sup>-1</sup> | Panicle<br>length<br>(cm) | No. of<br>grains<br>panicle <sup>-1</sup> | Grain<br>yield<br>(tha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Straw yield<br>(tha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Vegetable<br>yield<br>(tha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Rice<br>equivalent<br>yield (tha <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|---|----------------------|---|---|---------------------------|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| C <sub>0</sub> X A <sub>1</sub>           | 92.47abc             | 14.40c~h  | 17.33bc                                       | 23.25                     | 139.5a~d                                  | 7.21a                                  | 8.29a                               | -  | 7.21hi   |
| C <sub>0</sub> X A <sub>2</sub>           | 95.12a               | 15.00b~e  | 17.13bc                                       | 22.77                     | 142.2abc                                  | 6.65a~d                                | 7.66a~e                             | -  | 6.65i  |
| C <sub>0</sub> X A <sub>3</sub>           | 93.87ab              | 15.40abc  | 17.67b  | 23.72                     | 145.1ab                                   | 6.93abc                                | 7.85abc                             | -  | 6.93hi   |
| C <sub>0</sub> X A <sub>4</sub>           | 87.24d               | 16.27a  | 20.00a  | 22.09                     | 149.3a                                    | 7.00ab                                 | 8.07ab                              | -  | 7.00hi   |
| C <sub>1</sub> X A <sub>1</sub>           | 89.68bcd             | 15.93ab   | 19.06a  | 22.92                     | 136.2a~d                                  | 6.38a~d                                | 7.36a~e                             | 3.81fg                                     | 9.96h  |
| C <sub>1</sub> X A <sub>2</sub>           | 91.47abcd            | 13.53gh   | 15.80d~g                                      | 23.27                     | 137.5a~d                                  | 6.55a~d                                | 7.57a~e                             | 7.43de                                     | 13.54g   |
| C <sub>1</sub> X A <sub>3</sub>           | 91.84abc             | 15.13b~e  | 17.66b  | 23.49                     | 138.3a~d                                  | 6.71a~d                                | 7.75a~d                             | 13.08c                                     | 19.02cd  |
| C <sub>1</sub> X A <sub>4</sub>           | 91.40abcd            | 15.27bcd  | 17.87b  | 23.12                     | 134.6b~e                                  | 6.28bcd                                | 7.12c~f                             | 13.21c                                     | 18.71cde   |
| C <sub>2</sub> X A <sub>1</sub>           | 91.48abcd            | 13.60gh   | 16.13c~f                                      | 23.58                     | 131.8b~e                                  | 5.86de                                 | 6.82def                             | 15.84b                                     | 20.77bc  |
| C <sub>2</sub> X A <sub>2</sub>           | 91.55abcd            | 14.13 <sup>e</sup> ~h                             | 17.00bcd                                      | 23.70                     | 126.8de                                   | 5.90de                                 | 6.79ef                              | 9.84d                                      | 15.15fg  |
| C <sub>2</sub> X A <sub>3</sub>           | 92.60abc             | 14.27d~h  | 17.34bc                                       | 23.05                     | 148.8a                                    | 6.04de                                 | 6.95c~f                             | 18.44ab                                    | 23.40ab  |
| C <sub>2</sub> X A <sub>4</sub>           | 91.98abc             | 14.40c~h  | 15.20fg                                       | 23.40                     | 136.1a~e                                  | 6.07cde                                | 7.11c~f                             | 18.78a                                     | 23.75a   |
| C <sub>3</sub> X A <sub>1</sub>           | 89.13cd              | 13.93fgh  | 15.33fg                                       | 23.33                     | 133.3b~e                                  | 5.89de                                 | 6.86def                             | 2.74gh                                     | 8.47hi   |
| C <sub>3</sub> X A <sub>2</sub>           | 89.13cd              | 13.40h  | 14.67g  | 23.36                     | 135.0b~e                                  | 6.37a~d                                | 7.22b~f                             | 1.59gh                                     | 7.86hi   |
| C <sub>3</sub> X A <sub>3</sub>           | 94.50a               | 15.33abc  | 17.93b  | 24.09                     | 136.6a~d                                  | 6.61a~d                                | 7.61a~e                             | 1.60gh                                     | 8.12hi   |
| C <sub>3</sub> X A <sub>4</sub>           | 92.22abc             | 14.40c~h  | 16.93bcd                                      | 23.59                     | 139.3a~d                                  | 6.68a~d                                | 7.66a~e                             | 3.18fg                                     | 9.67hi   |
| C <sub>4</sub> X A <sub>1</sub>           | 92.38abc             | 14.13e~h  | 15.33fg                                       | 23.57                     | 143.3abc                                  | 5.43e                                  | 6.40f                               | 7.41de                                     | 15.89efg   |
| C <sub>4</sub> X A <sub>2</sub>           | 92.53abc             | 14.53c~g  | 15.60efg                                      | 23.31                     | 123.0e                                    | 4.67f                                  | 5.32g                               | 5.91ef                                     | 13.02g   |
| C <sub>4</sub> X A <sub>3</sub>           | 91.17abcd            | 14.67c~f  | 16.20c~f                                      | 22.99                     | 131.5cde                                  | 6.41a~d                                | 7.39a~e                             | 7.45de                                     | 16.92def   |
| C <sub>4</sub> X A <sub>4</sub>           | 91.72abc             | 12.47i  | 16.67b~e                                      | 23.75                     | 134.2b~e                                  | 6.32bcd                                | 7.28b~f                             | 7.54de                                     | 16.97def   |
| Level of significance                     | 0.05                 | 0.01  | 0.01  | NS                        | 0.05                                      | 0.05                                   | 0.05                                | 0.01                                       | 0.01   |
| CV (%)                                    | 2.49                 | 3.71  | 3.93  | 3.60                      | 4.95                                      | 6.94                                   | 6.65                                | 22.95                                      | 12.60  |

In a column, the treatment means having similar letter(s) do not differ significantly, 'NS' means not significant, C<sub>0</sub>: Rice (Sole), C<sub>1</sub>: Rice + Bottle gourd (Bo), C<sub>2</sub>: Rice + White gourd (W), C<sub>3</sub>: Rice + Sponge gourd (S), C<sub>4</sub>: Rice + Yard long bean (Y) ; Note A<sub>1</sub>: 30 cm, A<sub>2</sub>: 40 cm, A<sub>3</sub>: 50 cm, A<sub>4</sub>: 60 cm

obtained from C<sub>4</sub>A<sub>2</sub> treatment combination. Grain yield of rice cv. BRR1 dhan29 differed significantly due to the effect of interaction between crop combination and width of *ail*. The highest grain yield (7.21 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained from sole rice with 30 cm width of *ail* (C<sub>0</sub>A<sub>1</sub>) and the lowest value (4.67 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded from rice + yard long bean with 40 cm width of *ail* (C<sub>4</sub>A<sub>2</sub>) treatment combination. Straw yield significantly differed due to the interaction effect. Higher straw yield (8.29 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was produced from the C<sub>0</sub>A<sub>1</sub> treatment combination and lower straw yield (5.32 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded from C<sub>4</sub>A<sub>2</sub> treatment combination. Higher straw yield might be due to the production of longest plants. In vegetable cultivation with *Boro* rice, white gourd (C<sub>2</sub>) with 60 cm (A<sub>4</sub>) and 50 cm (A<sub>3</sub>) *ails* gave the highest yield (18.78 and 18.44 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). The second highest vegetable yield (13.21 and 13.08 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) was produced from bottle gourd (C<sub>1</sub>) with the same width of *ail* treatments. The A<sub>1</sub> (30 cm) and A<sub>2</sub> (40 cm) width of *ails* with all the vegetable crops gave more or less the lowest yield. Results showed that the highest rice equivalent yield (23.75 t ha<sup>-1</sup>)

was obtained from C<sub>2</sub>A<sub>4</sub> (rice + white gourd and 60 cm width of *ail*), which was similar to C<sub>2</sub>A<sub>3</sub> (23.40 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) treatment combination and the lowest rice equivalent yield (6.65 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was received from the control treatment.

#### Cost analysis

In respect of cost analysis for vegetable cultivation with *Boro* rice, the highest gross return (Tk. 208,985ha<sup>-1</sup>), net return (Tk. 149,049ha<sup>-1</sup>) and benefit-cost ratio (3.49) were obtained from the treatment combination of rice + white gourd and 60 cm width of *ail* (C<sub>2</sub>A<sub>4</sub>) and the lowest gross return (Tk. 74,030ha<sup>-1</sup>), net return (Tk. 17,256ha<sup>-1</sup>) and benefit-cost ratio (1.30) were obtained from rice + sponge gourd and 40 cm width of *ail* (C<sub>3</sub>A<sub>2</sub>) treatment combination (Table 4). The results also showed that 50 to 60 cm width of *ails* are adequate to grow those vegetable successfully. It appears that root growth and over-all development of vegetable crops were much better in broader *ails* than that of narrower ones. Rice cultivation alone, because of its high input cost, is comparatively less profitable than the plot provided with both rice and vegetable on the *ails* of the plot.

**Table 4. Cost and return of vegetable cultivation along with *Boro* rice crop cv. BRR1 dhan29**

| Treatment combination           | Total cost of production (Tk. ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Return (Tk. ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |                       | Gross return (Tk. ha <sup>-1</sup> ) (a + b) | Net return (Tk. ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Marginal rate of return (%) | Benefit-cost ratio |
|---------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
|                                 |  | Due to product (a)             | Due to by-product (b) |  |                                    |                             |                    |
| C <sub>0</sub> X A <sub>1</sub> | 33,519   | 61,285                         | 8,290                 | 69,575                                       | 36,056                             | 178.40                      | 2.08               |
| C <sub>0</sub> X A <sub>2</sub> | 33,519   | 56,525                         | 7,660                 | 64,185                                       | 30,666                             | 151.73                      | 1.91               |
| C <sub>0</sub> X A <sub>3</sub> | 33,519   | 58,905                         | 7,850                 | 66,755                                       | 33,236                             | 164.45                      | 1.99               |
| C <sub>0</sub> X A <sub>4</sub> | 33,519   | 59,500                         | 8,070                 | 67,570                                       | 34,051                             | 168.48                      | 2.02               |
| C <sub>1</sub> X A <sub>1</sub> | 61,368   | 84,660                         | 7,360                 | 92,020                                       | 30,652                             | 65.91                       | 1.50               |
| C <sub>1</sub> X A <sub>2</sub> | 61,368   | 115,090                        | 7,570                 | 122,660                                      | 61,292                             | 131.79                      | 2.00               |
| C <sub>1</sub> X A <sub>3</sub> | 61,368   | 161,670                        | 7,750                 | 169,420                                      | 108,052                            | 232.33                      | 2.76               |
| C <sub>1</sub> X A <sub>4</sub> | 61,368   | 159,035                        | 7,120                 | 166,155                                      | 104,787                            | 225.31                      | 2.71               |
| C <sub>2</sub> X A <sub>1</sub> | 59,936   | 176,545                        | 6,820                 | 183,365                                      | 123,429                            | 273.49                      | 3.06               |
| C <sub>2</sub> X A <sub>2</sub> | 59,936   | 128,775                        | 6,790                 | 135,565                                      | 75,629                             | 167.58                      | 2.26               |
| C <sub>2</sub> X A <sub>3</sub> | 59,936   | 198,900                        | 6,950                 | 205,850                                      | 145,914                            | 323.31                      | 3.43               |
| C <sub>2</sub> X A <sub>4</sub> | 59,936   | 201,875                        | 7,110                 | 208,985                                      | 149,049                            | 330.26                      | 3.49               |
| C <sub>3</sub> X A <sub>1</sub> | 56,774   | 71,995                         | 6,860                 | 78,855                                       | 22,081                             | 52.46                       | 1.39               |
| C <sub>3</sub> X A <sub>2</sub> | 56,774   | 66,810                         | 7,220                 | 74,030                                       | 17,256                             | 41.00                       | 1.30               |
| C <sub>3</sub> X A <sub>3</sub> | 56,774   | 69,020                         | 7,610                 | 76,630                                       | 19,856                             | 47.18                       | 1.35               |
| C <sub>3</sub> X A <sub>4</sub> | 56,774   | 82,195                         | 7,660                 | 89,855                                       | 33,081                             | 78.60                       | 1.58               |
| C <sub>4</sub> X A <sub>1</sub> | 52,673   | 135,065                        | 6,400                 | 141,465                                      | 88,792                             | 232.76                      | 2.69               |
| C <sub>4</sub> X A <sub>2</sub> | 52,673   | 110,670                        | 5,320                 | 115,990                                      | 63,317                             | 165.98                      | 2.20               |
| C <sub>4</sub> X A <sub>3</sub> | 52,673   | 143,820                        | 7,390                 | 151,210                                      | 98,537                             | 258.31                      | 2.87               |
| C <sub>4</sub> X A <sub>4</sub> | 52,673   | 144,245                        | 7,280                 | 151,525                                      | 98,852                             | 259.13                      | 2.88               |

Note: A<sub>1</sub>: 30 cm, A<sub>2</sub>: 40 cm, A<sub>3</sub>: 50 cm, A<sub>4</sub>: 60 cm; C<sub>0</sub>: Rice (Sole), C<sub>1</sub>: Rice + Bottle gourd (Bo), C<sub>2</sub>: Rice + White gourd (W), C<sub>3</sub>: Rice + Sponge gourd (S), C<sub>4</sub>: Rice + Yard long bean (Y); Rice grain @ Tk. 8.50kg<sup>-1</sup>; Bottle gourd @ Tk. 8.00 kg<sup>-1</sup>; White gourd @ Tk. 8.00 kg<sup>-1</sup>; ce straw @ Tk. 1.00 kg<sup>-1</sup>; Sponge gourd @ Tk. 8.00kg<sup>-1</sup>; ard long bean @ Tk. 12.00 kg<sup>-1</sup>

### References

- Alam, M. Z. 2000. Dike cropping manual. Interfish- 2 Project. CARE (Co-operative for American Relief Everywhere), Bangladesh. pp. 28-49.
- Amin, A. K. M. R. 2004. Effect of cold temperature and agronomic management on the spikelet sterility and yield of *Boro* rice. Ph. D. Thesis, Dept. Agron., Bangladesh Agril. Univ., Mymensingh. pp. 52-57.
- BBS (Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics). 2004. Monthly Statistical Bulletin Bangladesh. Bangladesh Bur. Stat., Stat. Div., Min. Planning, Govt. People's Repub. Bangladesh. pp.53 & 57.
- BRRI (Bangladesh Rice Research Institute). 2004. *Adhunik Dhaner Chash* (In Bengali). 10<sup>th</sup> Ed. Booklet No. 5. Bangladesh Rice Res. Inst., Joydebpur, Gazipur, Bangladesh. pp. 25-28.
- FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization). 1998. Quarterly Bulletin of Statistics. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy, 11(314): 91-92.
- Gomes, K. A. and Gomes, A. A. 1984. Statistical Procedure for Agricultural Research. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. John Willey and Sons. New York. pp. 97-111.
- Kundu, S. K. 2002. Vegetable production in *Boro* rice crop. M.S. Thesis, Dept. Agron., Bangladesh Agril. Univ., Mymensingh. p. 64.
- Siddique, M. A. and Aditya, D. K. 1992. Vegetable consumption and nutrition status in Bangladesh. In: Vegetable production and Marketing; Proc. National Review and Planning Workshop, AVRDC, Shauhua,